

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,805	09/17/2003	Sang Hyun Kim	8733.913.00-US	3765	
30827 75	590 09/20/2006		EXAMINER		
MCKENNA I 1900 K STREE	LONG & ALDRIDG	GOUDREAU, GEORGE A			
	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_		
	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,80	05	KIM, SANG HYUN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	George A.	Goudreau	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no even d will apply and wi ute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION  ent, however, may a reply be  ll expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro  ication to become ABANDO	ON.  It timely filed  om the mailing date of this commoder (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	July 2006.			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is n	on-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow				nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from cor			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and accompany accompany and accompany and accompany and accompany and accompany accompany and accompany accom	ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) be ection is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.	nts have bee nts have bee iority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National St	tage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certi	fied copies not recei	ived.	Λ
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		V	l Date	wdreau

Application/Control Number: 10/663,805

Art Unit: 1763

1. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

-In the claims, the usage of the term "curing" or "cured" is vague, and indefinite. (i.e.-The term curing is usually used to denote the hardening or solidification of a polymeric material. Applicant has not used this term in this manner in the claims. Rather, applicant refers to the curing of a polysilicon layer or a cured polysilicon layer in the claims. It is unclear to the examiner how a polysilicon layer can be cured since it is inorganic, and not polymeric. Also, the polysilicon layer is already solid, and cannot be hardened further. Thus, the examiner does not understand how the polysilicon layer can be cured.); and

- -The scope of the preamble in claim 1 is not commensurate with the body of claim 1. (The preamble recites a method for forming an active layer of a polycrystalline silicon thin film transistor. The body of the claim does not positively recite a sufficient number of steps for forming a thin film transistor.); and
- -The scope of the preamble in claim 14 is not commensurate with the body of claim 14. (The preamble recites a method for forming an active layer of a polycrystalline silicon thin film transistor. The body of the claim does not positively recite a sufficient number of steps for forming a thin film transistor.)
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,805

Art Unit: 1763

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner George A. Goudreau at telephone number (571)-272-1434.

Georgie A. Goldrea Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1763